# Toward the use of a proof assistant to teach mathematics.

Julien Narboux under the supervision of

Hugo Herbelin

LIX, École Polytechnique

ICTMT7, 26 July 2005, Bristol, UK

(日)





- Introduction to the Coq proof assistant
- 3 Some examples



Julien Narboux

(a)

 The impact of the use of software on the proving activity is a well addressed issue in the litterature.

- CAS, DGS,
- There are software whose sole purpose is to produce proofs : the proof assistants.

• The impact of the use of software on the proving activity is a well addressed issue in the litterature.

- CAS, DGS,
- There are software whose sole purpose is to produce proofs : the proof assistants.

• The impact of the use of software on the proving activity is a well addressed issue in the litterature.

(a)

- CAS, DGS, PA.
- There are software whose sole purpose is to produce proofs : the proof assistants.

What ? Why ?

## CAS (Maple, MuPAD, Mathematica . . . )

Definitions, Questions → Results Computation Theorem Prover (Otter, Vampire

Definitions, Axioms, Statement → True, False, I don't know, Nothing. Automatic proof Proof assistant (Coq (84), Isabelle, HOL, PVS (90's)...)

Axioms, Statement, Interractive Proof → Correct or not Interractive proof

...)

What ? Why ?

#### Proof oriented software

- For example :
  - logic oriented (Hyperproof ...)
  - geometry oriented (Geometrix, Baghera ...)
  - algebra oriented (MathXpert ...)
- They are :
  - User friendly
  - Give hints to the student

## Proof assistants

- Not specialized
- A very large span of applications
- A very high level of confidence
- Real mathematics

What can we prove ?

• Programs (Line 14 of Paris' subway ...)

 Mathematical statements (The fondamental theorem of algebra (Henk Barendregt's group), The four colours theorem (Gonthier, Werner)...)

(日)

What?

Why ?

# What can we prove ?

- Programs (Line 14 of Paris' subway ...)
- Mathematical statements (The fondamental theorem of algebra (Henk Barendregt's group), The four colours theorem (Gonthier, Werner)...)

(日)

What?

Why ?

Why?

#### • To understand what a proof is.

- To ensure correctness of the proof (The four colours theorem again).
- To generate proofs that could not be done by hand, either

(日)

with too many cases tor an exhausible searc

• For teaching.

What ? Why ?

# Why?

- To understand what a proof is.
- To ensure correctness of the proof (The four colours theorem again).
- To generate proofs that could not be done by hand, either
  - Proof of programs (often long but straightforward proof) with too many cases for an exhaustive search).

- Proof of mathematical statements (The four colours)

What ? Why ?

# Why?

- To understand what a proof is.
- To ensure correctness of the proof (The four colours theorem again).
- To generate proofs that could not be done by hand, either
  - Proof of programs (often long but straightforward proofs with too many cases for an exhaustive search).
  - Proof of mathematical statements (The four colours theorem).
- For teaching.

What ? Why ?

# Why?

- To understand what a proof is.
- To ensure correctness of the proof (The four colours theorem again).
- To generate proofs that could not be done by hand, either
  - Proof of programs (often long but straightforward proofs with too many cases for an exhaustive search).

- Proof of mathematical statements (The four colours theorem).
- For teaching.

What ? Why ?

# Why?

- To understand what a proof is.
- To ensure correctness of the proof (The four colours theorem again).
- To generate proofs that could not be done by hand, either
  - Proof of programs (often long but straightforward proofs with too many cases for an exhaustive search).

(日)

• Proof of mathematical statements (The four colours theorem).

• For teaching.

What ? Why ?

# Why?

- To understand what a proof is.
- To ensure correctness of the proof (The four colours theorem again).
- To generate proofs that could not be done by hand, either
  - Proof of programs (often long but straightforward proofs with too many cases for an exhaustive search).

- Proof of mathematical statements (The four colours theorem).
- For teaching.



Can we trust a proof checked by the Coq proof assistant ?

르

## Coq (http://coq.inria.fr/)

- a free software (GPL2),
- based on the Calculus of Inductive Constructions,
- developped at INRIA in the LogiCal team,
- since 1984.



Can we trust a proof checked by the Coq proof assistant ?

르

## Coq (http://coq.inria.fr/)

- a free software (GPL2),
- based on the Calculus of Inductive Constructions,
- developped at INRIA in the LogiCal team,
- since 1984.



Can we trust a proof checked by the Coq proof assistant ?

3

#### Coq (http://coq.inria.fr/)

- a free software (GPL2),
- based on the Calculus of Inductive Constructions,
- developped at INRIA in the LogiCal team,
- since 1984.



Can we trust a proof checked by the Coq proof assistant ?

(日)

#### Coq (http://coq.inria.fr/)

- a free software (GPL2),
- based on the Calculus of Inductive Constructions,
- developped at INRIA in the LogiCal team,
- since 1984.

# What you need to trust :

Can we trust a proof checked by the Coq proof assistant ?

(日)

#### • The theory behind Coq.

- The Coq kernel implementation match the theory. Coq : > 130000 lines of code The kernel : < 11000 lines of code
- Your hardware, operating system and Ocaml compiler.
- Yours axioms.

What you need to trust :

Can we trust a proof checked by the Coq proof assistant ?

(日)

- The theory behind Coq.
- The Coq kernel implementation match the theory. Coq : > 130000 lines of code The kernel : < 11000 lines of code</li>
- Your hardware, operating system and Ocaml compiler.
- Yours axioms.

Can we trust a proof checked by the Coq proof assistant ?

(日)

## What you need to trust :

- The theory behind Coq.
- The Coq kernel implementation match the theory. Coq : > 130000 lines of code The kernel : < 11000 lines of code</li>
- Your hardware, operating system and Ocaml compiler.
- Yours axioms.

Can we trust a proof checked by the Coq proof assistant ?

(日)

## What you need to trust :

- The theory behind Coq.
- The Coq kernel implementation match the theory. Coq : > 130000 lines of code The kernel : < 11000 lines of code</li>
- Your hardware, operating system and Ocaml compiler.
- Yours axioms.



#### A few difficulties :

- *f*(*x*, *y*) is noted (*f x y*).
- $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$  is used to express  $A \wedge B \rightarrow C$ .
- $\neg A$  is defined by  $A \rightarrow False$ .

# Let's start :

1	รเ	ıbgoal
Х	:	nat
У	:	nat
Ζ	:	nat
Η	:	x = y / y = z
		(1/1)
Х	=	Z
21		-

#### Examples:

We know that :

• *x*, *y* and *z* are natural numbers and

• 
$$x = y \wedge y = z$$
.

We need to show that :

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

• 
$$X = Z$$
.

# How can I prove something ?

#### The proof can be described step-by-step using :

- case distinction
- absurd
- induction
- application of a theorem
- computation
- rewriting
- and sometimes automation
- . . .

Julien Narboux

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Some examples now.

Julien Narboux

-1

- It clarifies what we know, what we want to prove, what are the theorems, lemmas, axioms, definitions...
- It is rigourous.
- It helps to understand the logic.
- It clarifies what the logical rules are.
- It is fair: the proof is correct iff it is accepted by the system.

- It clarifies what we know, what we want to prove, what are the theorems, lemmas, axioms, definitions...
- It is rigourous.
- It helps to understand the logic.
- It clarifies what the logical rules are.
- It is fair: the proof is correct iff it is accepted by the system.

- It clarifies what we know, what we want to prove, what are the theorems, lemmas, axioms, definitions...
- It is rigourous.
- It helps to understand the logic.
- It clarifies what the logical rules are.
- It is fair: the proof is correct iff it is accepted by the system.

- It clarifies what we know, what we want to prove, what are the theorems, lemmas, axioms, definitions...
- It is rigourous.
- It helps to understand the logic.
- It clarifies what the logical rules are.
- It is fair: the proof is correct iff it is accepted by the system.

- It clarifies what we know, what we want to prove, what are the theorems, lemmas, axioms, definitions...
- It is rigourous.
- It helps to understand the logic.
- It clarifies what the logical rules are.
- It is fair: the proof is correct iff it is accepted by the system.

# Limitations

#### Notations

- Error messages
- Interface
- Associativity-Commutativity
- Not enough automation
- Too much automation

4

# Limitations

#### Notations

#### Error messages

- Interface
- Associativity-Commutativity
- Not enough automation
- Too much automation

Julien Narboux

(日)

# Limitations

- Notations
- Error messages
- Interface
- Associativity-Commutativity
- Not enough automation
- Too much automation

Julien Narboux

(日)

# Limitations

- Notations
- Error messages
- Interface
- Associativity-Commutativity
- Not enough automation
- Too much automation

Julien Narboux

(日)

# Limitations

- Notations
- Error messages
- Interface
- Associativity-Commutativity
- Not enough automation
- Too much automation

Julien Narboux

(日)

# Limitations

- Notations
- Error messages
- Interface
- Associativity-Commutativity
- Not enough automation
- Too much automation

Work done or in progress

PCoq A gui to ease the usage of Coq.

F. Guilhot's work A formalization of high school geometry in Coq.

CoqWeb An interface for solving exercises online using Coq (Work in progress).

(日)

DrGeoCaml A gui for interactive proof in geometry using Coq (Work in progress).

- DGS to conjecture
- CAS to compute
- PA to prove

Thank you !

-1

- DGS to conjecture
- CAS to compute
- PA to prove

Thank you !

(日)

4