Dependently Typed Programming in the Coq Proof Assistant

Nicolas Magaud School of Computer Science and Engineering The University of New South Wales

> Dagsthul Seminar 12-17.09.2004

Outline

2

- The Coq Proof Assistant
 - A System based on the Calculus of Inductive Constructions
 - Designed to Write Programs and Reason about them
 - Distinction between Logic and Computation (Set/Prop)
 - Extraction Mechanism
- Dependently Typed Programming
 - Writing Fully Specified Programs
 - Describing Partial Functions, Well-founded Recursion
 - Dependently Typed Programs and their Properties or Coq as any other dependently typed programming language.

- Defining functions (only total functions)
 - Structural recursive definitions:
 Pattern Matching and Guarded Fixpoint
 - One can also define functions by well-founded recursion.

3

• Example

```
Inductive nat : Set := 0 : nat | S : nat -> nat.
Fixpoint plus (n m:nat) {struct n} : nat :=
    match n with | 0 => m
    | S p => S (plus p m)
```

end.

• Computational behaviour (*i*-reduction) plus O $m \xrightarrow{\iota} m$ plus (S p) $m \xrightarrow{\iota}$ (S (plus p m))

Fully Specified Programs

• A predecessor function for natural numbers (pred.v)

- initial implementation: as a function of type nat \rightarrow nat and maybe a comment about what we do for 0
- refined into a function with a precondition: $\forall n : nat, n \neq 0 \rightarrow nat$
- eventually as a fully-specified function
 ∀n : nat, {p : nat | n = (S p)} + {n = 0}

This type contains all the information we want to know about pred, especially the computed term p as well as its link with n.

• Especially useful to establish properties of functions for which reasoning by induction will be difficult.

• Computing elements of the Fibonacci sequence

Fixpoint fib (n : nat) : nat :=
match n with
| 0 => 0
| S p => match p with
| 0 => 1
| S q => fib p + fib q
end

end.

• How to define it with integers (Z) rather than natural numbers ?

5

• We'll need well-founded induction and partial functions

6

- fib : $\forall n : \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq n \to \mathbb{Z}$
- Recursion using accessibility and a well-founded order
 Inductive Acc (A: Set) (R: A -> A -> Prop): A -> Prop := Acc_intro:

forall x: A, (forall y: A, R y x -> Acc R y) -> Acc R x

- Build a higher-order function (one-step computation)
 This is always a dependently typed function.
- Case analysis on a strongly specified version of boolean expressions
 Z_le_lt_eq_dec : ∀x y : Z, (x ≤ y) → {(x < y)} + {x = y}

Not only we do case analysis on whether x < y and x = y, but we also get it as an assumption in the corresponding branch.

Definitions by well-founded recursion



```
Definition F
  (n : Z)
  (g : forall m : Z, Zwf 0 m n \rightarrow (0 <= m) \rightarrow Z)
  (h : (0 \le n)) : Z :=
  match Z_le_lt_eq_dec 0 n h with
  | left h' =>
      match Z_le_lt_eq_dec 1 n (t1 _ h') with
      | left h'' =>
           (g (n - 1) (t2 _ h') (st _ (t2 _ h')) +
           g (n - 2) (t3 _ h'') (st _ _ (t3 _ h'')))
      | right _ => 1
      end
  | right _ => 0
  end.
```

• Generating associated Fix-point equations (Balaa and Bertot)

```
fib 0 h = 0
fib 1 h = 1
fib (S (S n)) h = fib (S n) h' + fib n h''
```

Handling functions with preconditions is a bit more complex.

• Alternative Approach:

Recursion on a Ad-Hoc predicate (Bove and Capretta)



Defining vectors (a.k.a. dependent lists)
 Inductive vect (A : Set) : nat -> Set :=
 vnil : vect A 0
 vcons : forall n : nat, A -> vect A n -> vect A (S n).

Definition app: forall n m:nat, (vect A n) -> (vect A m) -> (vect A (plus n m)).

- But remember ! We are in a theorem prover...
- ...so we want to prove theorems about these objects.
 associativity of append on vectors
 forall n:nat, forall vn:(vect A n), (rev n (rev n vn) vn)=vn

• Leibnitz equality (as an inductive definition)

Inductive eq (A : Type) (x : A) : A -> Prop :=
 refl_equal : x = x

- This equality only allows to compare objects already known to be of the same type.
- Dependent Equality and John Major's Equality
 Inductive JMeq (A:Set) (x:A) : forall B:Set, B -> Prop :=
 JMeq_refl : JMeq x x.
- Equality equipped with a special elimination principle: forall (A:Set) (x y:A) (P:A -> Prop), P x -> JMeq x y -> P y.
 Let's see how it works in Coq ! (app.v)

Proof Development

• append:

 $\forall n \ m : \mathsf{nat}, \mathsf{vect} \ n \to \mathsf{vect} \ m \to \mathsf{vect} \ (n+m)$

- reverse :
 - $\forall n : \mathsf{nat}, \mathsf{vect} \ n \to \mathsf{vect} \ n$
- how to prove $\forall n : \mathsf{nat}, \forall vn : (\mathsf{vect} \ n), \mathsf{reverse} \ n \ (\mathsf{reverse} \ n \ vn) = vn ?$
- Trying to build reverse with append
 reverse x :: xs → append n 1 xs x : (vect (n + 1))
- however we would prefer (vect (S n)) for the recursive definition of reverse, hence we take a specific app_right function. (reverse.v, dep2.v)

Summary

12

- A few applications of dependent types to program in Coq
 - Fully Specified Functions
 - Building Functions using Well-founded Recursion
 - Describing Partial Functions
 - Actually Writing Dependently Typed Functions
- To what extend is it practicable to write dependently typed programs in such a framework ?
- We remain in the same framework to do the proofs.
- We can extract the datatypes and functions to Ocaml or Haskell.