Mechanical verification of proofs in geometry

Julien Narboux

October 2022
ITI IRMIA++ Seminar

1. What is a proof assistant ?
2. Overview of GeoCoq
   - Foundations
   - Two formalizations of the Elements
   - Arithmetization of Geometry
   - 34 parallel postulates
3. The parallel postulate: a syntactic proof of independence
   - Euclid's 5th postulate
   - Syntactic vs semantic proofs
   - A semantic proof of the independence of Euclid's 5th
   - A syntactic proof of the independence of Euclid's 5th
   - Tarski's axioms
   - Main idea
   - The proof

What is a proof assistant ?

- Misleading name:
  - Proof assistant do not help finding proofs but checking proofs.
  - Proofs are built interactively by the user (ITP Interactive Theorem Proving)
- Related community (ATP: Automated Theorem Proving) .

An example proof

```
Section Book_1_prop_1_circle_circle.

Context `{TnEQD:Tarski_neutral_dimensionless_with_deci

Lemma prop_1_circle_circle : circle_circle ->
  forall A B, exists C, Cong A B A C /\ Cong A B B C.
Proof.
  intros cc A B.
  apply circle_circle__circle_circle_bis in cc.
  destruct (cc A B B A A B) as [C [HC1 HC2]]; Circle.
  exists C.
  split;Cong.
Qed.

End Book_1_prop_1_circle_circle.
```

Outline

GeoCoq

- An Open Source library about foundations of geometry
- Contributors: Michael Beeson, Gabriel Braun, Pierre Boutry, Charly Gries, Julien Narboux, Pascal Schreck
- Size: $>$ 3900 Lemmas, $>$ 130000 lines
- License: LGPL3

Euclide



Hilbert



Tarski



Exercises

Euclide



Hilbert



Tarski

What we have:

Axiom systems Tarski's, Hilbert's, Euclid's and variants.

Foundations In arbitrary dimension, in neutral geometry.
Betweenness, Two-sides, One-side, Collinearity,
Midpoint, Symmetric point, Perpendicularity, Parallelism,
Angles, Co-planarity, ...

Classic theorems Pappus, Pythagoras, Thales' intercept theorem,
Thales' circle theorem, nine point circle, Euler line,
orthocenter, circumcenter, incenter, centroid,
quadrilaterals, Sum of angles, Varignon's theorem, ...

Arithmetization Coordinates and possibility to use Gröbner basis.

An Euclidean model of Tarski's and Hilbert's axioms using
Pythagorean ordered field

High-school Some exercises

What is missing:

- Consequence of continuity: trigonometry, areas
- Model of equal-area axioms (but available in HOL-Light !)
- Model of hyperbolic geometry (but available in Isabelle !)
- Complex geometry (but available in Isabelle !)

Foundations of geometry

1. Synthetic geometry
2. Analytic geometry
3. Metric geometry
4. Transformations based approaches

Synthetic approach

Assume some undefined geometric objects + geometric predicates + axioms . . .
The name of the assumed types are not important.

- Hilbert's axioms:

types: points, lines and planes
predicates: incidence, between, congruence of segments, congruence of angles

- Tarski's axioms:

types: points
prédicats: between, congruence

- . . . many variants

Example of books using a synthetic approach:

- Euclide (1998). Les Éléments. *Les Éléments*
- David Hilbert (1899). Grundlagen der Geometrie. *Grundlagen der Geometrie*
- Borsuk and Szmielew: *Foundations of Geometry*
- Robin Hartshorne (2000). Geometry : Euclid and beyond. Undergraduate texts in mathematics *Geometry: Euclid and Beyond*
- Marvin J. Greenberg (1993). Euclidean and Non-Euclidean Geometries - Development and Histor *Euclidean and non-euclidean Geometries, Development and History*
- Specht *et. al.*: *Euclidean Geometry and its Subgeometries*

Analytic approach

We assume we have numbers (a field $\mathbb{F}$).
We define geometric objects by their coordinates.
Points := $\mathbb{F}^n$

Metric approach

Compromise between synthetic and metric approach.
We assume both:

- numbers (a field)
- geometric objects
- axioms



- Birkhoff's axioms: points, lines, reals, ruler and protractor
- Chou-Gao-Zhang's axioms: points, numbers, three geometric quantities

Examples of books using metric approach:

- E.E. Moise (1990).
  Elementary Geometry from an Advanced Standpoint.
- Richard S Millman and George D Parker (1991).
  Geometry, A Metric Approach with Models.

Transformation groups

Erlangen program. Foundations of geometry based on group actions and invariants.

Felix Klein

Overview of the axiom systems



---

[1] Gabriel Braun, Pierre Boutry, and Julien Narboux (June 2016). "From Hilbert to Tarski". In: Eleventh International Workshop on Automated Deduction in Geometry. Proceedings of ADG 2016

[2] Gabriel Braun and Julien Narboux (Sept. 2012). "From Tarski to Hilbert". English. In: Post-proceedings of Automated Deduction in Geometry 2012. Vol. 7993. LNCS

[3] Pierre Boutry, Gabriel Braun, and Julien Narboux (2019). "Formalization of the Arithmetization of Euclidean Plane Geometry and Applications". In: Journal of Symbolic Computation 98

[4] Pierre Boutry et al. (2017). "Parallel postulates and continuity axioms: a mechanized study in intuitionistic logic using Coq". In: Journal of Automated Reasoning

Outline

The Elements

- A very influential mathematical book (more than 1000 editions).
- First known example of an axiomatic approach.



Book 2, Prop V, Papyrus d'Oxyrhynchus (year 100)

Euclid

First project

- Joint work with Charly Gries and Gabriel Braun
- Mechanizing proofs of Euclid's **statements**
- Not Euclid's proofs!
- Trying to minimize the assumptions:
  - Parallel postulate
  - Elementary continuity
  - Archimedes' axiom

Second project

- Joint work with Michael Beeson and Freek Wiedijk [5]
- Formalizing Euclid's **proofs**
- A not minimal axiom system
- Filling the gaps in Euclid

[5] Michael Beeson, Julien Narboux, and Freek Wiedijk (2019). "Proof-checking Euclid". In: Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence 85.2-4

Example

Proposition (Book I, Prop 1)

Let A and B be two points, build an equilateral triangle on the base AB.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$ the circles of center A and B and radius AB. Take C at the intersection of $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_2$. The distance AB is congruent to AC, and AB is congruent to BC. Hence, ABC is an equilateral triangle.



Book I, Prop 1

In the spirit of *reverse mathematics*, we proved two statements:

1. Assuming no continuity, but the parallel postulate (solving a challenge proposed by Beeson)[6].
2. Assuming circle/circle continuity, but not the parallel postulate (trivial).

Pambuccian has shown that these assumptions are minimal.



[6] Michael Beeson (2013). "Proof and Computation in Geometry". In: Automated Deduction in Geometry (ADG 2012). Vol. 7993. Springer Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence

```
Section Book_1_prop_1_euclidean.
Context `{TE:Tarski_2D_euclidean}.

Lemma prop_1_euclidean :
 forall A B,
  exists C, Cong A B A C /\ Cong A B B C.
Proof. ... Qed.

End Book_1_prop_1_euclidean.
```

```
Section Book_1_prop_1_circle_circle.
Context `{TE:Tarski_2D}.

Lemma prop_1_circle_circle :
circle_circle_bis ->
 forall A B,
  exists C, Cong A B A C /\ Cong A B B C.
Proof.
intros.
unfold circle_circle_bis in H.
destruct (H A B B A A B) as [C [HC1 HC2]];Circle.
exists C.
unfold OnCircle in *.
split;Cong.
Qed.

End Book_1_prop_1_circle_circle.
```

Outline

Arithmetization of Geometry

René Descartes (1925).
La géométrie.



298 LA GEOMETRIE.

eſt a l'autre, ce qui eſt le meſme que la Diuiſion; ou enfin trouuer vne, ou deux, ou pluſieurs moyennes proportionnelles entre l'vnité, & quelque autre ligne; ce qui eſt le meſme que tirer la racine quarrée, ou cubique, &c. Et ie ne craindray pas d'introduire ces termes d'Arithmetique en la Geometrie, affin de me rendre plus intelligibile.

La Multiplication.

Soit par exemple A B l'vnité, & qu'il faille multiplier B D par B C, ie n'ay qu'a ioindre les poins A & C, puis tirer D E parallele a C A, & B E eſt le produit de cete Multiplication.

La Diuiſion.

Ou bien s'il faut diuiſer B E par B D, ayant ioint les poins E & D, ie tire A C parallele a D E, & B C eſt le produit de cete diuiſion.

L'Extraction de la racine quarrée.

Ou s'il faut tirer la racine quarrée de G H, ie luy adiouſte en ligne droite F G, qui eſt l'vnité, & diuiſant F H en deux parties eſgales au point K, du centre K ie tire le cercle F I H, puis eſleuant du point G vne ligne droite iuſques à I, à angles droits ſur F H, c'eſt G I la racine cherchée. Ie ne dis rien icy de la racine cubique, ny des autres, à cauſe que i'en parleray plus commodement cy aprés.

Comment on peut

Mais ſouuent on n'a pas beſoin de tracer ainſi ces ligne

Addition and multiplication





Algebra/Geometry

Continuity	Axiom
	ordered Pythagorean field[7]
circle/line continuity	ordered Euclidean field [8]
FO Dedekind cuts	real closed field [9]
Dedekind	reals

[7] the sum of squares is a square

[8] positive are square

[9] F is euclidean and every polynomial of odd degree has at least one root in F.

Outline

Euclid 5th postulate

“If two lines are drawn which intersect a third in such a way that the sum of the inner angles on one side is less than two right angles, then the two lines inevitably must intersect each other on that side if extended far enough.”



Bachmann's Lotschnittaxiom

If $p \perp q$, $q \perp r$ and $r \perp s$ then p and s meet.





Triangle postulate



Playfair's postulate



Tarski's postulate





Four groups


Archimedes' axiom
Aristotle's axiom
Greenberg's axiom
Decidability of intersection of lines
Bachmann's Lotschnittaxiom
Triangle postulate
Playfair's postulate
Tarski's parallel postulate

Sorting 34 postulates



10

[10] Pierre Boutry et al. (2017). "Parallel postulates and continuity axioms: a mechanized study in intuitionistic logic using Coq". In: Journal of Automated Reasoning

Outline

This part of the talk:
Herbrand's theorem and non-Euclidean geometry
Michael Beeson, Pierre Boutry, Julien Narboux
Bulletin of Symbolic Logic, Association for Symbolic Logic, 2015, 21 (2), pp.12.
`https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01071431v3`

If a line segment intersects two straight lines forming two interior angles on the same side that sum to less than two right angles, then the two lines, if extended indefinitely, meet on that side on which the angles sum to less than two right angles.



A long history

From antiquity, mathematicians felt that Euclid 5th was less “obviously true” than the other axioms, and they attempted to derive it from the other axioms. Many false “proofs” were discovered and published.

Examples:

- Ptolemy assumes implicitly Playfair axioms (uniqueness of parallel).
- Proclus assumes implicitly “If a line intersects one of two parallel lines, both of which are coplanar with the original line, then it must intersect the other also.”
- Legendre published several incorrect proofs of Euclid 5 in his best-seller “Éléments de géométrie”.

Outline

About independence

We want to show that the parallel postulate is independent of the other axioms:

Theorem

The parallel postulate is not a theorem.

About independence

We want to show that the parallel postulate is independent of the other axioms:

Meta-Theorem

The parallel postulate is not a theorem.

A toy example

Example

The language :

One predicate : R (arity 2)

One constant : $\blacksquare$

One function symbol : μ (arity 1)

One axiom : $R(\blacksquare, \blacksquare)$

One rule : $\forall x, R(x, x) \Rightarrow R(\mu(x), \mu(x))$

Question

Is $R(\mu(\mu(\blacksquare)), \mu(\blacksquare))$ a theorem ?

Answer 1 (syntactic proof)

No, because :

1. It is not an axiom.
2. We cannot apply the rule.

Answer 2 (semantic proof)

No, because if you interpret:

- R by the equality $=$
- $\blacksquare$ by the integer 0
- μ by the function $x \mapsto x + 1$

It holds that $0 = 0$ and $\forall x, x = x \Rightarrow x + 1 = x + 1$ but we don't have $2 = 1$.

Semantic proofs of the independence of Euclid's 5th postulate

Using Poincaré disk model: straight lines consist of all segments of circles contained within that disk that are orthogonal to the boundary of the disk, plus all diameters of the disk.



Outline

Tarski's axioms

- 11 axioms
- two predicates ($\beta\ A\,B\,C$, $AB \equiv CD$)
- no definition inside the axiom system

Part 1

Six axioms without existential quantification:

Congruence Pseudo-Transitivity $AB \equiv CD \wedge AB \equiv EF \Rightarrow CD \equiv EF$

Congruence Symmetry $AB \equiv BA$

Congruence Identity $AB \equiv CC \Rightarrow A = B$

Between identity $\beta\, A\, B\, A \Rightarrow A = B$

Five segments $AB \equiv A'B' \wedge BC \equiv B'C' \wedge AD \equiv A'D' \wedge BD \equiv B'D' \wedge \beta\, A\, B\, C \wedge \beta\, A'\, B'\, C' \wedge A \neq B \Rightarrow CD \equiv C'D'$:

Side-Angle-Side expressed without angles.

Upper dimension

$$P \neq Q \wedge AP \equiv AQ \wedge BP \equiv BQ \wedge CP \equiv CQ \Rightarrow Col\ ABC$$

Part 2

Five axioms with existential quantification:

1. Lower dimension
2. Segment construction
3. Pasch
4. Parallel postulate
5. Continuity: Dedekind cuts or line-circle continuity

Lower Dimension

$$\exists ABC, \neg Col(A, B, C)$$

Segment construction axiom

$$\exists E, \beta\ A\,B\,E \wedge BE \equiv CD$$

Pasch's axiom

Allows to formalize some gaps in Euclid's Elements.
We have the inner form :

$$\beta\ A\ P\ C \wedge \beta\ B\ Q\ C \Rightarrow \exists X, \beta\ P\ X\ B \wedge \beta\ Q\ X\ A$$

Moritz Pasch
(1843-1930)

Parallel postulate

$$\exists XY, \beta\ A\ D\ T \wedge \beta\ B\ D\ C \wedge A \neq D \Rightarrow$$
$$\beta\ A\ B\ X \wedge \beta\ A\ C\ Y \wedge \beta\ X\ T\ Y$$

- This statement is equivalent to Euclid 5th postulate.
- Comes from an incorrect proof of Euclid 5th by Legendre.

Adrien-Marie Legendre (1752-1833) (watercolor caricature by Julien Léopold Boilly)

Main idea

Study the maximum distance between the points in the axioms with existential quantification:

Lower dim Initial Constant.

Inner Pasch The distance is conserved.

Segment Construction The distance is at most doubled.

Line Circle Continuity The distance at most doubled.

Euclid We can build points arbitrarily far.

The proof

- Skolemize the axiom system: replace existential quantification with function symbols.
- Apply Herbrand's theorem.

Herbrand's theorem

Herbrand's theorem says that under some assumptions (the theory is first-order and does not contain existential symbols), if the theory proves an existential theorem $\exists y\, \phi(a, y)$, with ϕ quantifier-free, then there exist finitely many terms $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ such that the theory proves

$$\phi(a, t_1(a)) \vee \phi(a, t_2(a)) \ldots \vee \ldots \phi(a, t_n(a)).$$

Example in geometry

Dropping or erecting a perpendicular.

Other topics of interest / perspectives

- Automated deduction in geometry and using coherent logic
- Automatic formalization using Deep Learning
- Proof assistants for teaching
- Formalization of physics ?

Thank you